



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Inventor:** Gregory STECKMAN, et al. **Examiner:** ANGEBRANNDT, Martin J.

**Application No.:** 10/809,969 **Group Art Unit:** 1756

**Filing Date:** March 25, 2004 **Office Action Date:** October 4, 2007

**Docket No.** OND-009/10754-18 **Confirmation No.** 7894

**Title:** METHOD FOR PACKAGING  
THERMALLY COMPENSATED FILTERS

MS: Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to a phone call with Examiner Angebrannndt, please find attached a copy of the Power of Attorney documents signed by all inventors which revokes all previous attorney's associated with this application.

Also attached is a copy of Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b), which gives myself the authority to act on behalf of the assignee.

Additionally attached is the response to an Office Action. We had attempted to respond to the Office Action on February 13 but Examiner Angebrannndt phoned to inform me I needed to revoke a previous attorney from the application.

In the event that any fees are required for the prosecution of this application, please charge any necessary fees to Deposit Account No. 503795.

Sincerely,

Dr. Christophe Moser  
CEO, Ondax  
(626) 357-9600

### **INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-73 are pending in the present application.

Claims 38,42,45,46,48,49,61,62 and 66-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Lemaire et. Al (US 6,147,341).

Claims 1-5, 8-12, 19,22-33,38-42,45-49,56 and 59-69 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Sullivan (US 6,621'957).

Claims 1-12,19,22-49, 56 and 59-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al. (US 6,621'957), in view of Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950), Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829).

Claims 1-12, 19-49 and 56-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al. (US 6,621'957) , combined with either Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950) , Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829), further in view of Fells et al. (US 6,363,187) .

Claims 38,39,42,45-50, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Myers et al., (US-2003/0210863).

Claims 1-18 and 38-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al. (US-2003/0210863) in view of Sullivan (US 6,621'957) combined with Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950), Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829).

Prior Art (US 5,042,898; 6,507,693; 6,396,982; 6,628,862; 6,101,301; 5,771,250; 6,370,310; 6.304,687; 6,498,891;

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.